Linguiverse

Volume 2, Issue 1, 2026

ISSN-E 3134-6146

DOI: 10.66366/linguiverse.2026.7

Published by: SIII

Publication Date: Aprill 07, 2026

Strategic Integration of ESP in Engineering Education for Improved English Skills and Professional Interaction

[1]Simuzar Shirinova, [2]Narmina Aliyeva

[1]*Department of Foreign Languages, Baku Engineering University (BEU), Baku, Azerbaijan, AZ0101,*

sishirinova@beu.edu.az

[2]*Department of Foreign Languages, Baku Engineering University (BEU), Baku, Azerbaijan, AZ0101*
neliyeva@beu.edu.az

Abstract **- Despite the increasing global emphasis on English proficiency, a considerable number of engineering students whose first language is not English continue to face substantial challenges in language acquisition. These challenges include restricted vocabulary, pronunciation difficulties, anxiety, limited opportunities for practice, linguistic weaknesses, psychological barriers, institutional limitations, and pedagogical inadequacies. This study aims to investigate the difficulties encountered by non-native engineering students in acquiring English language skills required for real-life communication and to examine the significance of English for Specific Purposes (ESP) in engineering education. Particular attention is given to ESP's role in enhancing academic performance, employability, and professional communication. The study highlights how ESP instruction, specifically adapted to engineering contexts, contributes to the development of comprehension, technical writing, and collaborative skills, drawing upon established theories of language acquisition. ESP, as a discipline, is purposefully designed to address the linguistic demands of engineering students by fostering English proficiency within their academic and professional**

domains. Unlike General English, which emphasizes broader linguistic competence, ESP concentrates on discipline-specific vocabulary, communicative functions, and professional tasks relevant to engineering. By employing targeted terminology and specialized skills, ESP effectively equips students with the communicative competence necessary to thrive in both academic and workplace contexts. Moreover, the study underscores the importance of aligning ESP instruction with industry expectations and real-world engineering practices, ensuring that language learning remains both functional and career-oriented, thereby fostering global competitiveness among future engineers.

Keywords— English language proficiency; English for Specific Purposes (ESP); engineering students; language acquisition; specialized vocabulary

Manuscript received 30 Jun. 2025; revised 29 Aug. 2025; accepted 30 Dec. 2025. Date of publication 07 Aprill, 2026

LINGUIVERSE is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License.

I. Introduction

In the 21st century, English has firmly established itself as the global lingua franca, particularly in scientific and technical domains such as engineering. This century has been described with various terms, including the Fourth Industrial Revolution, globalization, the Century of Knowledge, the Century of Information Technology, and the Century of Knowledge-Based Economies. Rapid and unpredictable advancements in economy, transportation, technology, communication, and information have characterized this era (Budiyanto et al., 2024). As the most widely spoken second language, English enables effective interaction across international contexts. Within the global job market, proficiency in spoken English significantly enhances employability, providing candidates with a competitive advantage in multinational corporations and global industries.

Effective English communication skills, particularly oral proficiency, are increasingly indispensable due to the international scope of the engineering profession. For engineering students, fluency in English is critical not only for academic success but also for professional development, global collaboration, and employability (Crystal, 2003). While technical expertise remains vital, English proficiency—especially speaking—offers engineers the opportunity to thrive in a globalized society (Kassim & Ali, 2010). Furthermore, English extends beyond coursework and employment, granting access to vast online resources such as books, scholarly articles, videos, and courses, much
of which are unavailable in translation. Nevertheless, many
engineering students, despite their strong technical knowledge, often struggle to express ideas effectively in spoken English, which can hinder both academic
performance and career opportunities.

Non-native speakers of English in engineering frequently encounter persistent challenges in their professional trajectories. These include lack of self-confidence, language-related anxiety, insufficient opportunities for practice, institutional

limitations, ineffective teaching methodologies, and issues with pronunciation or accent. Riemer (2007) observes that engineering curricula tend to emphasize technical subjects, often neglecting language development. Traditional English language courses, while focused on grammar and general vocabulary, typically fail to address the specific communicative demands of engineering. By contrast, English for Specific Purposes (ESP), particularly English for Technical Communication, is designed to address the linguistic requirements of engineering students and support their success in both academic and professional environments. For instance, ESP emphasizes technical vocabulary and writing skills that are essential for producing engineering reports and presentations. Defined as the teaching of English for a specialized purpose (Mackay & Mountford, 1978), ESP focuses on domain-specific needs such as technical terminology, scientific writing, project presentations, and report preparation. Through this approach, ESP not only strengthens students' technical communication abilities but also enhances their overall academic outcomes. In the context of globalization, mastery of English has thus become an indispensable requirement for engineering students, underpinning both academic achievement and long-term career advancement.

II. Materials and Method

This study is anchored in Needs Analysis Theory (Hutchinson & Waters, 1987), which highlights the importance of identifying learners' linguistic requirements in relation to the contexts in which English will be applied. Needs analysis is described as a "systematic process of determining the discrepancies between desired performance and actual performance, and identifying the causes of such gaps" (Wright & Geroy, 1992). Within the engineering discipline, this framework encompasses communicative tasks such as technical documentation, oral presentations, professional correspondence, and research reporting.

Research Questions

The study seeks to address the following:

1.What recurring challenges do non-native engineering students experience in acquiring English proficiency?

2.In what ways does English for Specific Purposes (ESP) contribute to the enhancement of engineering students' English language competence?

The growing demand for discipline-specific communication skills in higher education, particularly under the influence of globalization, has brought English for Specific Purposes (ESP) to the forefront of academic research. Numerous studies have demonstrated that ESP courses can more effectively develop the linguistic competence of engineering students compared to General English programs. Basturkmen (2003) highlights the necessity of tailoring ESP instruction to the professional and academic needs of learners, while Basturkmen (2010) further argues that ESP offers significant advantages for engineering students by emphasizing technical vocabulary, professional genres, and communicative practices relevant to their field. Hutchinson and Waters (1987) distinguish ESP from General English by advocating for a learner-centered, context-driven approach. Hyland (2006) underscores the value of genre-based pedagogy in ESP, especially in technical fields where communication is highly standardized. Supporting this perspective, Joesba and Ardeo (2005, cited in Hyo Kim, 2013) contend that providing engineering students with specialized English skills not only benefits their academic performance but also equips them with essential tools for future professional practice.

This study utilized a qualitative research design, drawing primarily on a comprehensive review of secondary data, including peer-reviewed journal articles, books, and conference proceedings related to English for Specific Purposes (ESP) in engineering education. The research was theoretically grounded in Needs Analysis Theory as proposed by Hutchinson and Waters (1987),

which emphasizes identifying the linguistic needs of learners based on the contexts in which English will be used. According to Phillip C. Wright and Gary D. Geroy (1992), needs analysis is defined as a "systematic process to identify gaps between what should be happening and what is actually happening, and to determine the causes of these gaps."

The methodology involved analyzing studies that examined both the challenges non-native engineering students face in acquiring English language skills and the benefits of ESP training in addressing these gaps. Sources reviewed included seminal works such as Hutchinson and Waters (1987), Basturkmen (2003; 2010), Hyland (2006), and more recent studies such as Cal, A. et al. (2023), Afroze et al. (2019), and Omnia Ibrahim Mohamed & Nowar Nizar Alani (2022). Data were synthesized to identify recurring themes, including difficulties in learning English, the significance of ESP in engineering, and the skills enhanced through ESP instruction. The analysis further incorporated frameworks proposed by Dudley-Evans & St John (1998) and Anthony (2018), which emphasize aligning English instruction with academic and occupational requirements.

III. Results and Discussion

The findings reveal that English for Specific Purposes (ESP) has evolved into a vital pedagogical approach, particularly within engineering education, owing to its needs-based, content-focused, and goal-oriented nature. Unlike general English programs that emphasize grammar and unspecialized vocabulary, ESP concentrates on discipline-specific language, employing authentic materials such as reports, technical manuals, and professional case studies to enhance learners' communicative competence in their own fields. Its learner-centered orientation ensures that students' prior disciplinary knowledge becomes a foundation for the development of professional communication skills, while its task-oriented design facilitates the achievement of practical goals such as writing reports, delivering presentations, and participating in technical discussions.The emergence of ESP can be traced back to the mid-20th century socio-economic and educational transformations. Hutchinson and Waters (1987) identify three principal factors that shaped its development: the demands of a rapidly changing world requiring immediate and practical English use; a revolution in linguistics that emphasized the relationship between language and context; and the rise of learner-centered education with its focus on needs analysis. Strevens (1988) similarly emphasized that ESP was a direct response to the "new world order," prioritizing communicative efficiency over cultural or literary purposes. Halliday's (1978) functional linguistic theory further reinforced ESP by highlighting that linguistic choices are determined by register, field, and context. Subsequently, needs analysis, as discussed by Dudley-Evans and St John (1998), became the cornerstone of ESP, ensuring that instruction is aligned with learners' specific professional and academic requirements.

Despite its pedagogical advantages, engineering students often struggle with English learning due to institutional, linguistic, and psychological challenges. Engineering curricula, as Riemer (2007) observes, typically prioritize technical subjects, leaving minimal space for language development. Consequently, students from non-English-medium backgrounds encounter difficulties in understanding complex technical texts, interpreting discipline-specific vocabulary, and producing academic writing (Flowerdew, 2001). Institutional limitations exacerbate these challenges, as English courses are often confined to early semesters and rarely integrated into advanced, specialized study (Braine, 2002). This results in a gap between the linguistic demands of higher-level coursework and the limited preparation students receive.

Psychological factors further contribute to these difficulties. Many students experience anxiety, lack of confidence, and fear of mistakes, which significantly reduce their participation in communicative tasks such as class discussions or

oral presentations. According to Krashen's (1982) Affective Filter Hypothesis, these emotional barriers inhibit language acquisition by raising students' psychological resistance to learning. Such findings emphasize the need for language instruction that reduces anxiety and fosters communicative confidence in professional contexts.

Incorporating ESP into engineering programs directly addresses these gaps. Unlike general English, ESP courses provide tailored training in technical vocabulary, professional writing, and oral communication within the engineering context (Dudley-Evans & St John, 1998). Learners engage with authentic field-specific materials, including technical articles, blueprints, and research reports, which not only enhance comprehension but also prepare them for real-life professional tasks (Basturkmen, 2006). This approach equips students with essential academic skills such as thesis writing, technical documentation, and journal interpretation, while also preparing them for career-related communication tasks like job interviews and cross-disciplinary collaboration (Anthony, 2018; V. Murali et al., 2024).Furthermore, ESP develops key professional competencies that general English instruction cannot adequately provide. Students learn to read and analyze technical manuals and research papers (Hyland, 2006), to compose structured reports and proposals, and to communicate effectively in multicultural, globalized engineering environments (Swales, 1990). Hampel and Hauck (2006) argue that such targeted instruction improves not only academic success but also employability and job performance, as graduates who are proficient in technical English are better prepared for international collaboration and professional advancement. Importantly, Hutchinson and Waters (1987) stress that the relevance of ESP to learners' academic and career objectives enhances motivation, leading to more effective learning outcomes.

Taken together, these findings demonstrate that ESP plays an indispensable role in bridging the gap between language learning and disciplinary expertise in engineering. By addressing institutional shortcomings, reducing affective barriers, and equipping students with field-specific communicative skills, ESP ensures that learners are academically competent and professionally prepared for the linguistic demands of their discipline. Thus, the integration of ESP into engineering education emerges not only as a solution to students' language difficulties but also as a catalyst for academic achievement, career growth, and international collaboration in a globalized engineering context..

The results further indicate that ESP is considerably more effective than General English in meeting the linguistic demands of engineering students. Basturkmen (2003; 2010) underscores that ESP courses are designed to address learners' discipline-specific needs, particularly in areas such as technical vocabulary, professional genres, and communicative practices relevant to engineering. Hutchinson and Waters (1987) argue that ESP is learner-centered and differs from General English by focusing on contextualized language use tailored to students' goals. Hyland (2006) adds that genre-based approaches in ESP are particularly valuable in technical fields where communication formats and styles are highly standardized.

Moreover, studies such as Joesba and Ardeo (2005, cited in Hyo Kim, 2013) emphasize that the teaching of discipline-specific English skills equips engineering students with vital competencies that extend beyond the academic context and into their professional careers. Hampel and Hauck (2006) also note that ESP courses contribute to improved job performance and career advancement by equipping students with the communicative skills necessary for their specialized fields. Anthony (2018) reinforces this argument, highlighting that ESP prepares students for both academic tasks, such as thesis writing and journal article comprehension, and career-related tasks, such as job interviews, technical documentation, and participation in international collaborations.

In summary, the discussion demonstrates that ESP enhances academic achievement, professional preparedness, and employability by equipping engineering students with field-specific linguistic competencies. Employers consistently favor candidates with the ability to effectively communicate technical concepts in English (Afroze et al., 2019; Graddol, 2006; Kaushal, 2016). Therefore, integrating ESP into engineering curricula is essential for bridging the gap between technical expertise and linguistic proficiency, ultimately ensuring that graduates can thrive in the increasingly globalized engineering profession.

IV. Conclusion

As engineering increasingly functions within a globalized and interconnected framework, proficiency in English is no longer a supplementary skill but a fundamental requirement for academic success and professional achievement. The findings of this study reaffirm that English for Specific Purposes (ESP) plays a crucial role in addressing the linguistic and communicative challenges faced by engineering students. By tailoring instruction to discipline-specific contexts, ESP strengthens technical vocabulary, refines professional communication, enhances self-confidence, and equips learners with the language competence necessary to meet both educational and career-related demands. Consequently, higher education institutions should give systematic attention to embedding ESP within engineering curricula to foster graduates who are not only technically proficient but also capable of engaging effectively in international professional environments.

Based on the outcomes of the study, several recommendations can be put forward to enhance the effectiveness of ESP in engineering education. First, conducting continuous and systematic needs analysis is essential to ensure that course content remains relevant to students' evolving academic and professional requirements. Second, the incorporation of authentic materials such as academic journal articles, patent documentation, and technical reports helps situate language learning within realistic professional contexts. Third, encouraging project-based learning and interdisciplinary collaboration within ESP classrooms can promote both linguistic development and teamwork skills. Fourth, ESP materials should be regularly revised and updated in line with ongoing developments in the engineering industry. Finally, technology-enhanced learning, including the use of digital platforms, multimedia resources, and simulation tools, should be integrated into ESP pedagogy to provide more dynamic and interactive learning experiences. Faculty training is equally critical, as instructors need to be well-prepared to integrate specialized language instruction into engineering curricula effectively.

The practical implications of these findings underscore the need for structural adjustments within higher education institutions. Engineering departments are encouraged to formally integrate ESP courses that reflect the authentic language use of the field, thus preparing students for real-world professional communication. Close collaboration between ESP instructors and engineering faculty should be fostered to design specialized curricula rooted in authentic materials and workplace practices. Furthermore, institutions should invest in systematic teacher training in ESP pedagogy, ensuring that language instructors are equipped to address the specific demands of technical disciplines. Such institutional support will enable ESP to move beyond being a supplementary component and instead function as a core element of engineering education.

Despite its contributions, this study also opens several avenues for future research. Firstly, longitudinal studies are needed to evaluate the long-term effectiveness of ESP courses on engineering students' academic performance and career trajectories. Secondly, comparative research across different cultural and institutional contexts could shed light on how ESP implementation varies and what models are most effective in specific environments. Thirdly, there is scope to investigate the role of emerging

technologies—such as artificial intelligence, virtual reality, and online collaborative platforms—in enhancing ESP delivery and learner engagement. Finally, future research should examine the perspectives of both engineering faculty and industry professionals to ensure that ESP curricula remain aligned with current workplace practices and future skill demands.

In summary, ESP holds significant potential for bridging the gap between technical expertise and communicative competence in engineering education. However, its success relies on continuous refinement, institutional support, and a strong link between academia and industry. Future scholarship should continue to explore innovative approaches and interdisciplinary strategies to ensure that ESP remains responsive to the evolving demands of global engineering practice.

References

[1] Hyo Kim K. (2013). Needs Analysis for English for Specific Purpose course Development for Engineering Students in Korea. Kongju National University, Korea: international Journal of Multimedia and Ubiquitous Engineering.

[2] Joesba & Ardeo (2005) in Hyo Kim K. (2013). Needs Analysis for English for Specific Purpose course Development for Engineering Students in Korea. Kongju National University, Korea: international Journal of Multimedia and Ubiquitous Engineering.

[3] Strevens, P. (1988). ESP after twenty years: A re-appraisal. In M. Tickoo (Ed.), ESP: State of the Art (pp. 1– 13). SEAMEO Regional Language Centre.

Dudley-Evans, T., & St John, M.

[4] Dudley-Evans, T., & St John, M. J. (1998). Developments in English for Specific Purposes: A multi- disciplinary approach. Cambridge University Press.

[5] Halliday, M. A. K. (1978). Language as social semiotic: The social interpretation of language and meaning. Edward Arnold.

[6] Graddol, D. (2006). English Next: Why global English may mean the end of English as a foreign language. British Council.

[7] Flowerdew, J. (2001). Attitudes of journal editors to nonnative speaker contributions. TESOL Quarterly, 35(1), 121–150.

[8] Riemer MJ. Communication skills for the 21st-century engineer. Glob J Eng Educ. 2007;11(1):89-100.

[9] Omnia Ibrahim Mohamed, Nowar Nizar Alani (2022). English for Specific Purposes: An Overview: Definitions, Characteristics and Development. English language Teaching.

[10] Strevens, P. (1977). New Orientations in the Teaching of English. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

[11] (Mackay, R. & Mountford, A. J. (1978). English for Specific Purposes A Case Study Approach. (1st Edition ed.) Michigan: UMI Book on demand

[12] Crystal, D. (2003). English as a global language (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

[13] Sarre, C., & Whyte, S. (2017). New developments in ESP Teaching and learning research. Published by Research-publishing.net.

[14] (Mackay, R. & Mountford, A. J. (1978). English for Specific Purposes A Case Study Approach. (1st Edition ed.) Michigan: UMI Book on demand).

[15] Basturkmen, H. (2006). Ideas and options in English for specific purposes. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

[16] Basturkmen H. (2003). Specificity and ESP course design. RELC J. 34(1):48-63.)

[17] Basturkmen, H. (2010). Developing Courses in English for Specific Purposes. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

[18] Anthony, L. (2018). Introducing English for specific purposes. Routledge.

[19] Alex., I. N. (2019). Language In The System of Artificial Intelligence. 2019 International Conference on Information Science and Communications Technologies (ICISCT), 1–5.

[20] Kassim H., & Ali, F. (2010). English Communicative Events and Skills Needed at the Workplace: Feedback from the Industry.

English for Specific Purposes, 29, 168-182.